

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/031,158	PASTAN ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Stephen L. Rawlings, Ph.D.	1643	

All Participants:

(1) Stephen L. Rawlings, Ph.D.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(2) Susan Alpert Siegel, Ph.D.

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 15 December 2005

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

of record

Claims discussed:

pending

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

The Examiner telephoned Dr. Alpert Siegel to request authorization for entry of an examiner's amendment, which would place this application in condition for allowance; Dr. Alpert Siegel authorized the Examiner to proceed as requested.

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)